

RESPONSES TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION (Jan 2013)

Appendix C

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
1	Objection - do not want further restrictions on these roads. The original planning of this area was for open space which is now being abused by cars and causing obstruction to road users, local residents and essential 999 services and refuse collection vehicles.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.
2	Objection - not in favour of the proposed no parking restrictions in Rossan Avenue, Warsash. Visit daughter regularly and being unable to park outside her house will cause problems.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.
3	Objection - does not support proposed No Waiting At Any Time across the junction of Glenda Close but would not mind restricted waiting at certain times.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.
4	Supports - supports proposal but would like the restrictions to be excluded on Bank Holidays so that families can park while visiting.	Cannot exclude bank holidays as dates are required on signs, and bank holidays vary each year.
5	Objection - would like residents parking, believes current proposal will adversely affect the value of property and most houses in Hewetts Rise have only 1 off-street parking space.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints. Residents parking is not viable at this time.
6	Support - in full support of the proposals outlined on the published notice regarding the intended parking restrictions in Hewetts Rise and Pitchponds Rd.	Noted

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
7	Objection - When residents require trades people to attend to their properties there will be nowhere for the trade vehicles to park. Most of the residents have more than one vehicle per household and in a number of cases 3 or more cars.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints. Parking will still be possible for loading and unloading.
8	Objection - To make the East side of Pitchpond Road "No Parking at any time" will inconvenience residents along that stretch too much as whenever we need to host week end visitors, fill garden trailers or load and prepare our caravan, we will have to do so across the road. It will be awkward enough timing such activities to miss the 10 to 12 - 2 to 4 restrictions.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints. Parking will still be possible for loading and unloading.
9	Objection - Proposal will leave no parking for visiting family and friends, traffic will move faster because at present parked cars act as traffic calming. Possible solution will be residents parking permit.	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints. Residents parking is not viable at this time.
10	Objection - There have been almost no instances to my knowledge, of inconvenience caused by college users since the restrictions on nearby roads were introduced and I cannot see this getting worse. One or two cars have parked in the close, but rarely has this caused a problem	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.
11	Objection - we are concerned that any "No waiting at any time" restrictions are being proposed for Rossan Avenue and feel these are unnecessary and unacceptable. The only solution that we would find acceptable highlighted on the plan for Rossan Avenue would be the lighter restrictions of "Mon-Fri, 10am-12am and 2-4pm" for the whole road as shown for Hewetts Rise. This would at least allow the residents of Rossan Avenue to continue to have overnight and weekend parking	It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
12	<p>Objection - it is astonishing the speed the cars travel up and down this road when the road has no parked cars on it! There is good road safety reason to have some parking available. This would be from No 5 Rossan Av downhill in the areas you currently propose “no waiting 10-12 and 2-4” whilst keeping the prudent “no waiting at any time” on the corners as you suggest – if this is not possible it could be that “resident’s permits” or a maximum time of two hours between the suggested times would be sufficient .</p>	<p>It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints. Residents parking is not viable at this time.</p>
13	<p>Objection - Very concerned that the problem will simply be moved rather than solved. The problem needs action by the college. If <u>any students</u> park near the end towards Rossan Avenue in Jumar Close you will be contacted immediately and continually.</p>	<p>It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.</p>
14	<p>Support - Would like the restrictions to be introduced on both sides of Glenda Close</p>	<p>The present recommendations should be sufficient at this time</p>
15	<p>Objection - Strongly object to the proposals, will cause problems when visitors park</p>	<p>It is recommended that the proposals are reduced, to lessen the inconvenience to residents. Some level of restriction is still advisable to address complaints.</p>
16	<p>Objection - to original proposal but would accept lesser restrictions</p>	<p>The restrictions as recommended should be acceptable</p>
17	<p>Objection - but would reluctantly accept reduced proposals</p>	<p>The restrictions as recommended should be acceptable</p>
18	<p>Support - Would also like residents parking permits</p>	<p>The present recommendations should be sufficient at this time</p>

19	Objection - Would like part time restrictions to be the same on both sides	The present recommendations should be sufficient at this time
20	Comment - To make various observations, but not in particular support or objection	The restrictions as recommended should be acceptable
21	Support - Would like two hour restrictions on both sides of Glenda Close	The present recommendations should be sufficient at this time